

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Tyler Hammock

APR 1 8 2019

Brighton, MI 48114

RE: MUR 7504

Dear Mr. Hammock:

The Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint received on September 26, 2018. On April 11, 2019, based upon the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations as to Elissa Slotkin for Congress and Janica Kyriacopoulos, as Treasurer, and close its file in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on April 11, 2019. A copy of the General Counsel's Report, which more fully explains the basis for the Commission's decision, is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson.

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure

General Counsel's Report

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT

MUR: 7504 Respondents: Elissa Slotkin for Congress

and Janica Kyriacopoulos, as Treasurer

("the Committee")

Complaint Receipt Date: September 26, 2018

Response Date: November 26, 2018

EPS Rating:

Alleged Statutory Regulatory Violations: 52 U.S.C. §§ 30104(c)(1), 30120(a); 11 C.F.R. §§ 109.10(b), 110.11(a)

The Complaint alleges that the Committee placed signs without the required disclaimer statements indicating who paid for the signs.² Respondents assert the Committee did not create or authorize the signs, and state that volunteers created and paid for the signs independently and without authorization from the Committee.³ Respondents further state that the Committee contacted the volunteers immediately after receiving the Complaint and learning the signs lacked disclaimers, offered to reimburse the costs of the signs, and printed and applied disclaimer stickers to the signs.⁴

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement

Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and

Elissa Slotkin won the November 6, 2018, general election for Michigan's 8th Congressional District.

² Compl. at 1 (September 26, 2018). The Complaint describes the signs as large and in the same style as Slotkin's yard signs, using the same logo as Slotkin's yard signs and website, but lacking any disclaimer. 'Id. The Complaint attaches copies of photos of the signs. Id. at 2-7.

Resp. at 1 (November 26, 2018). Respondents state that the volunteers paid \$180 for the three signs.

Id. at 2. Respondents state that the Committee would report the reimbursement on its 2018 Post-General Report. Id. That report discloses a \$180 disbursement to Lee Anzicek for "Reimbursement." See Elissa Slotkin for Congress 2018 Second Amended Post-General Report at 1512, filed January 8. 2019, available at http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/233/201901089143770233/201901089143770233.pdf. Respondents also state that the \$51.26 cost of the disclaimer stickers was reported on the Committee's 2018 Pre-General Report. Resp. at 2. The available information confirms this. See Elissa Slotkin for Congress 2018 Amended Pre-General Report at 753, filed January 8, 2019, available at http://docquery.fec.gov/pdf/274/201811209133802274.pdf.

EPS Dismissal Report — MUR 7504 (Elissa Slotkin for Congress, et al.) Page 2 of 2

assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating, the Respondents' explanation and action to remedy the non-compliant signs, and the modest amount at issue, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the Complaint consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all Respondents and send the appropriate letters.

Lisa J. Stevenson Acting General Counsel

April 2, 2019

Date

BY:

Charles Kitcher

Acting Associate General Counsel

LAFE S. Lordon

Assistant General Counsel

Donald E. Campbell

Attorney

The Response indicates the cost of the volunteers' signs, together with the disclaimer stickers provided by the Committee, totaled \$231.26, which falls below the threshold for filing independent expenditures. *Id. See* 52 U.S.C. § 30104(c)(1), see also 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(b)

⁶ Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985).